1	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP JOHN M. GRENFELL (CA Bar No. 88500)	
2	john.grenfell@pillsburylaw.com JACOB R. SORENSEN (CA Bar No. 20913	4)
3	jake.sorensen@pillsburylaw.com FUSAE NARA (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	,
4	fusae.nara@pillsburylaw.com	
5	ANDREW D. LANPHERE (CA Bar No. 19 andrew.lanphere@pillsburylaw.com 50 Fremont Street	1479)
6	San Francisco, CA 94105	
7	Telephone: (415) 983-1000 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200	
8	Attorneys for Defendants SHARP CORPORATION and SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION	
10	SIT NO LEBETHOTHES COIL ORTHOT	
11	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
12		
13		
14		
15	IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI MDL No. 1827
16	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL No. 1827
17	This Document Relates To:	STIPULATION AND [P ROPOSED]
18		ORDER EXTENDING SHARP'S TIME
19	Case No. 3:11-cv-711 SI	TO ANSWER AMENDED COMPLAINT
20	STATE OF NEW YORK, by and through, ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, Attorney	
21	General,	
	Plaintiff,	
22	v.	
23	AU Optronics Corporation, et al.,	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	WHEREAS plaintiff State of New York filed an Amended Complaint in the above-	
2	captioned case against Defendants AU Optronics Corporation, AU Optronics Corporation	
3	America, Inc., Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation, Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.,	
4	CMO Japan Co., Ltd., Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., Hitachi Electronic Devices	
5	(USA), Inc., LG Display Co., Ltd., LG Display America, Inc., Samsung Electronics Co.,	
6	Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., Sharp	
7	Corporation, Sharp Electronics Corporation, Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Matsushita	
8	Display Technology Co., Ltd., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba	
9	America Electronic Components, Inc. on March 15, 2011, Dkt. no. 2556;	
10	WHEREAS the Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to	
11	dismiss the Amended Complaint on August 9, 2011;	
12	WHEREAS Sharp Corporation and Sharp Electronics Corporation (together,	
13	"Sharp") desire a reasonable amount of time to answer the Amended Complaint;	
14	WHEREAS the Court previously entered an Order extending the time to answer the	
15	Amended Complaint on August 25, 2011, as stipulated by Plaintiff and Sharp; and	
16	WHEREAS the requested time modification will not affect any other deadline in	
17	this case.	
18	THEREFORE, the State of New York and Sharp hereby agree that Sharp's deadline	
19	to answer to the Amended Complaint shall be October 25, 2011.	
20	Dated: September 13, 2011	
21	ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN	
22	Attorney General of the State of New York	
23	By: /s/ Richard L. Schwartz Richard L. Schwartz	
24	Acting Bureau Chief, Antitrust Bureau Amy McFarlane	
25	Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff	
26	120 Broadway, 26th Floor New York, New York 10271	
27	(212) 416-8282 (Phone) (212) 416-6015 (Facsimile)	
28	Richard.Schwartz@ag.ny.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New York	
	•	

Case3:07-md-01827-SI Document3567 Filed09/14/11 Page3 of 3

1	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
2	JOHN M. GRENFELL JACOB R. SORENSEN
3	FUSAE NARA ANDREW D. LANPHERE
4	50 Fremont Street San Francisco, CA 94105
5	San Francisco, CA 77103
6	By:/s/ John M. Grenfell
7	John M. Grenfell
8	Attorneys for Defendants SHARP CORPORATION and SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION
9	ATTESTATION : Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that the
10	concurrence of the other signatory hereto has been obtained.
11	concurrence of the other signatory hereto has been obtained.
12	
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	Dated: Honorable Susan Illston
15	Honorable Susan Inston
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
∠ 1	
22	
22	
2223	
222324	
22232425	